UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,722	11/03/2003	Sunder Rathnavelu Raj	NLMI.P041	6031
25670 WILLIAM L. P	7590 07/21/200 PARADICE, III	EXAMINER		
4880 STEVENS CREEK BOULEVARD			PYO, MONICA M	
SUITE 201 SAN JOSE, CA	95129		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/700,722	RAJ, SUNDER RATHNAVELU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MONICA M. PYO	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	oril 2009					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22,45,46 and 49-52</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22, 45-46, and 49-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a baya baan raasiyad					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	αιστι πρμιταιίστ				

Application/Control Number: 10/700,722 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed 4/1/2009.

2. Claims 20-22, 45-46, and 49-52 are currently pending in this application. In the Amendment filed 4/1/2009, no claims were amended. Claims 20-22, 45-46, and 49-52 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Non Patent Literature "A versatile Data String-Search VLSI", published by IEEE on April 1988, written by Masaki Hirata et al. (hereinafter Hirata) in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,225,188 issued to Gai et al. (hereinafter Gai).

Regarding claim 20, Hirata discloses a method, comprising:

- A). receiving a text string having a plurality of characters, as a search string with characters (Hirata: pg. 329, col. 1, lns. 4-20; pg. 329, col. 2, lns. 30-pg. 330, col. 1, lns. 19; fig. 2); and
- B). performing an unanchored search of a database of a stored patterns matching one or more characters of the text string using a state machine, wherein the state machine comprises a ternary content addressable memory (TCAM), wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/700,722

Art Unit: 2161

performing further comprises, as the nonanchor mode search, the TCAM and character data stored in the CAM (Hirata: pg. 329, col. 2, lns. 1-12 & 18-29; pg. 330, col. 2, lns. 21-33; pg. 331, col. 2, lns. 6-25; pg. 332, col. 1, lns. 1-6; fig. 5):

Page 3

C). converging all branches of the state machine (i.e., 512 word-length data can be stored as one string), for a given stored pattern (i.e., stored reference data), to a single next state (i.e., a single input data) when a first number of the characters are matched to the contents of a state field of all state transitions of the branches (i.e., eight outputs from eight pair-bit CAM cells) (Hirata: pg. 330, col. 1, lns. 1-col. 2, lns. 33; pg. 331, col. 1, lns.20- pg. 332, col. 2, lns. 5).

Although Hirata teaches the feature of matching a character to the contents of a state field (Hirata: pg. 331, col. 1, lns. 20-pg. 332, col. 2, lns.5), Hirata does not explicitly discloses the feature of the method wherein the performing comprises comparing a state of the state machine and one of the plurality of characters with contents of a state field and a character field, respectively, stored in the TCAM, wherein the contents of the state field and the character field stored in the TCAM embody state transitions of the state machine, however, such a feature is well known in the art as disclosed in Gai (Gai: col. 10, lns. 9-37 & 63-col. 11, lns. 36; figs. 4, 6-7 - as the Deterministic Finite Automation [DFA] has a series of matching arcs and a match of the sequential characters) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to utilize such a feature in the data string-search VLSI system of Hirata in view of desire to enhance the data searching and matching system.

Regarding claim 21, Hirata and Gai disclose the method wherein the single next state is an earlier possible next state for at least one of the branches and wherein the converging comprises transitioning at least one of the branches to the earlier possible next state (Hirata: pg. 331, col. 1, lns. 20-col. 2, lns. 4) and (Gai: col. 10, lns. 37-col. 11, lns. 36).

5. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirata in view of Gai as applied to claims 20 and 21 above, further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2004/0133565 by Hinshaw et al. (hereinafter Hinshaw) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,134,143 issued to Stellenberg et al. (hereinafter Stellenberg).

Regarding claim 22, Hirata and Gai do not explicitly disclose the method further comprising storing the characters in a first-in-first-out (FIFO) storage element having a plurality of positions, however, such a feature is well known in the art as disclosed in Hinshaw (Hinshaw: pg. 3, [0020-0023]) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to utilize such a feature in the data string-search VLSI system of Hirata in view of desire to enhance the data searching and matching system. Hirata, Gai and Hinshaw do not explicitly disclose the features of the method positioning a read pointer at a first position; and adjusting the read pointer to a second position by an amount equal to N minus 1. However, such features are well known in the art as disclosed Stellenberg: positioning a read pointer at a first position (Stellenberg: col. 6, lns. 18-46); and adjusting the read pointer to a second position by an amount equal to N minus 1 (Stellenberg: col. 6, lns. 18-46) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to utilize such features in the

data string-search VLSI system of Hirata in view of desire to enhance the data searching and matching system.

6. Claims 45-46 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirata, Gai and further in view of Hinshaw, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,525,982 issued to Cheng et al. (hereinafter Cheng).

Regarding claim 45, Hirata and Gai disclose the method as disclosed in claim 20. Additionally, Hirata discloses the method wherein the control circuitry comprises a storage element for storing the plurality of characters (Hirata: pg. 330, col. 2, lns. 34-pg. 331, col. 1, lns. 10); a registered coupled to the storage element and the TCAM (Hirata: pg. 329, col. 2, lns. 30-pg. 330, col. 1, lns. 19; fig. 2). Hirata and Gai do not explicitly disclose the feature of a first-infirst-out (FIFO) storage element and a rollback circuit coupled to the FIFO storage element, however, such features are well known in the art as disclosed in Hinshaw: a first-in-first-out (FIFO) storage element and a rollback circuit coupled to the FIFO storage element (Hinshaw: pg. 3, [0020-0023]; pg. 4, [0039]) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to utilize such features in the data string-search VLSI system of Hirata in view of desire to enhance the data searching and matching system. Hirata, Gai and Hinshaw do not explicitly disclose the feature of current prefix register, however, such feature is well known in the art as disclosed in Cheng (Cheng: col. 7, lns. 35-56; fig. 2A) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to utilize such

features in the data string-search VLSI system of Hirata in view of desire to enhance the data searching and matching system.

Regarding claim 46, Hirata and Gai and Hinshaw and Cheng disclose the apparatus further comprising a processor coupled to the pattern and state database (Hirata: pg. 329, col. 1, lns. 4-30).

Regarding claim 50, Hirata and Gai and Hinshaw and Cheng disclose the apparatus wherein the contents of the state field in the TCAM further comprise a previous result field (Hirata: pg. 331, col. 2, lns. 7- pg. 332, col. 1, lns. 21) and (Gai: col. 10, lns. 9-37 & 63-col. 11, lns. 36; figs. 4, 6-7).

7. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirata, Gai, Hinshaw and in view of Cheng as applied to claims 45-46 and 50 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,963,942 issued to Igata (hereinafter Igata).

Regarding claim 49, Hirata and Gai and Hinshaw and Cheng disclose the apparatus wherein the TCAM implements (Hirata: pg. 329, col. 1, lns. 1-20]). Hirata and Gai and Hinshaw and Cheng do not explicitly disclose the feature utilizing an Aho-Corasick algorith, however, such feature is well known in the art as disclosed in Igata (Igata: col. 4, lns. 41-65) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to utilize

such features in the data string-search VLSI system of Hirata in view of desire to enhance the data searching and matching system.

8. Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirata, Gai, Hinshaw and in view of Cheng as applied to claims 45-46 and 50 above, further in view of Igata, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,305,422 issued to Wang (hereinafter Wang).

Regarding claim 51, Hirata and Gai and Hinshaw and Cheng do not explicitly disclose the apparatus wherein the FIFO comprises a read pointer tht can be selectively pushed back a number of the characters by the rollback circuit, however, such feature is well known in the art as disclosed in Wang (Wang: col. 6, lns. 56-65) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to utilize such features in the data string-search VLSI system of Hirata in view of desire to enhance the data searching and matching system.

9. Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirata in view of Gai as applied to claims 20 and 21 above, further in view of Hinshaw and Stellenberg, and further in view of Wang.

Regarding claims 52, Hirata and Gai and Hinshaw and Stellenberg disclose the apparatus further comprising the feature to read pointer a number of positions in response to a rollback field (Stellenberg: col. 6, lns. 18-46). Hirata and Gai and Hinshaw and Stellenberg do not

explicitly disclose the term rolling back, however, such feature is so well known in the art as disclosed in Wang (Wang: col. 6, lns. 56-65) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to utilize such features in the data string-search VLSI system of Hirata in view of desire to enhance the data searching and matching system.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 4/1/2009, with respect to claims 20, 45 and 50 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the prior Office Action has been withdrawn.

In addition, in response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combination of references. It should be noted that a reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art, including nonpreferred embodiments. See MPEP 2123 [R-5] (1).

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MONICA M. PYO whose telephone number is (571)272-8192.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri 8:00 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Monica M Pyo Examiner

A . TT .: ()

Art Unit 2161

07/2009

/Apu M Mofiz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161